

United States Patent and Trademark Office

3-1450

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,966 06/17/2005	Clive Stephen Montague Fisk	506-126	5485	
27106 · 7590 10/23/2006		EXAMINER		
MELVIN I. STOLTZ, ESQ. 51 CHERRY STREET MILFORD, CT 06460			UPTON, CHRISTOPHER	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1724		
		DATE MAILED: 10/23/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary MONTAGUE	FISK, CLIVE STEPHEN MONTAGUE		
omoc Action Gummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Upton	1724	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirn iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		٠
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the ${ t E}$	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		· · · ·	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No	
Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage	
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.	
			ı
August (A)			
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Inton ! 0	(DTO 442)	
2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o, ouler		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/519,966

Art Unit: 1724

Page 2

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the pump means of claims 3, 4, 9 and 10 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 1724

The structure claimed should be clarified. For example, the orientation of the conveyor and panels with respect to the "side," "end" and "other side" of the vessel is confusing, as normally a conveyor is oriented from a front to a rear.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by UK application 2 310 381.

The UK application discloses an oil slick removal device having a plurality of panels which are used to encompass an area and a conveyor belt for conveying oil into the area, as claimed.

With respect to claims 3, 4 and 9, it appears that such a pump is disclosed on page 2. With respect to claims 7 and 8, it is submitted that "comprising" permits more

Art Unit: 1724

than the claimed number of panels, as shown in the application. With respect to claim 11, it is submitted that page 2 discloses "belt or belts."

5. Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK application 2 310 381.

Claims 12 and 13 differ from the device of the UK application in recitation of the size. It is submitted that this would have been an obvious matter of design for one skilled in the art, as the application discloses "any shape or size," and therefore fails to patentably distinguish over the UK application.

6. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over UK application 2 310 381 in view of Wells.

Claim 10 differs from the UK application in recitation of the pumps being below the vessel to provide steerable thrust. The use of such pumps to direct oil to a spill removal means is known, as disclosed by Wells. It would therefore have been obvious for one skilled in the art to make the pump of the UK application of such a configuration, to enable the vessel and the spill to be guided.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited in the PCT Search Report have been made of record. Other references of interest include Eller, Strange, Pettersen, Dickie, Koster, Ross, Poche and Marocchio.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1724

571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724